

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

25X1

FROM:

Charles A. Briggs
Inspector General
6E18 Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

7 December 1981

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. *ED/DDA*
A/DDA
7D18 Hqs.

8 DEC 1981

25X1

3. Director of Data Processing
2D00

Would you please submit comments as requested via the DDA - note 18 Dec. deadline.

4.

5.

25X1

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

SECRET [REDACTED]

81-07190

25X1

7 DEC 1981

DD/A Registry
81-2557

MEMORANDUM FOR : Director of Data Processing

FROM : Charles A. Briggs
Inspector General

SUBJECT : Inspection Report of the Office of
Scientific and Weapons Research (OSWR)

1. Attached for your review and comment is a portion of the draft report on the Office of Scientific and Weapons Intelligence. Please return your comments by 18 December 1981.

2. Your review will be incorporated as appropriate in the report. If there is a disagreement, we will attach your comments to the report when it is forwarded to the DDCI/DCI.

3. The contact point in my office is [REDACTED]
extension [REDACTED]

25X1

[REDACTED] 25X1

Charles A. Briggs [REDACTED]

25X1

Attachment: Page 14 of Volume II, Part II

All portions of this document
are classified SECRET [REDACTED]

SECRET/S [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

SECRET/ [REDACTED]

25X1

The inspection team looked into the status of TADS. Its reputation for slow response, difficulty of mastery, data-dumping, and limited capabilities has discouraged its use by analysts. On the other hand, those analysts who have made the major effort necessary to learn to use TADS report that when it works, the results are impressive.

OSWR management believes that the TADS program should be continued and that the requested added capabilities, particularly the provision of an expanded number of data display points (approximately \$1.6 million in FY 1983 budget request) are justified. That TADS is considered promising in the Intelligence Community is confirmed by the fact that current ODP work on TADS is being accomplished with funds supplied by the Air Force and the Army as well as by OSWR and ODP.

In general, the use of ADP, including TADS, by OSWR analysts is not at a level one would expect. There are several obstacles to expanded use of ADP which should be dealt with promptly in anticipation of the projected data glut, not to mention simply taking advantage of the benefits of ADP. Analyst indifference is one hurdle. To provide incentive, two of the OSWR divisions include the use of TADS in the AWP's of their analysts—an approach we believe is constructive. This encourages those not brought up, so to speak, with ADP to take this major step.

The inadequacy of training opportunities is another obstacle. We heard that ODP classes are filled months in advance and that the course contents are not responsive to OSWR analysts' needs. A partial solution might be the designation of an already ADP-proficient analyst in each OSWR division as an ADP coordinator (as DSD and STD have done). Under the guidance of the specialists from DMAB, these coordinators could cross-train other analysts in their divisions as well as interface with DMAB on specific requirements. In addition, OSWR should either seek more timely and specially designed training support from ODP or, failing that, consider alternatives to relying on ODP for its training needs.

SECRET/ [REDACTED]

25X1